Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/591,964	KOBAYASHI, SHU
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Paul A. Zucker	1621
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n: <u>allowed</u>
(1) <u>Paul A. Zucker</u> .	(3)	
(2) Greg C. Cohn.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 January 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 5 and 8-10		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT	r was discussed:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation 	he examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
2		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	nt/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Cohn to indicate that the application was almost in condition for allowance except for some minor grammatical errors in the claims. Mr Cohn authorized the following Examiner's Amendment in order tio address these errors:

The claims have been amended as follows:

Claim 5, line 2: The last word --is-- has been inserted between the words "derivative" and "represented".

Claim 8, line 2: The word --is-- has been inserted between the words "derivative" and "represented".

Claim 9, line 2: The word --is-- has been inserted between the words "derivative" and "represented".

Claim 10, line 2: The word --is-- has been inserted between the words "derivative" and "represented". .